

Sparse Coding and Multimodal Dictionary Learning in Computer Vision

Aleksandra Pižurica

Department Telecommunications and Information Processing Ghent University

Mathematics for Big Data Workshop May 31 – June 1, Novi Sad

Outline



- Sparse representation
- Some Open Problems and Challenges
- 2 Coupled Dictionary Learning
 - Image and Video Super-Resolution
 - Source Separation
- 3 Sparse Representation Classification
 - Hyperspectral Image Classification
 - Paint Loss Detection
- Applications in Spectral Unmixing
 - Spectral Mixing
 - Sparse Unmixing

5 Summary

Outline

- Sparse Coding via Dictionary Learning
 - Sparse representation
 - Some Open Problems and Challenges
- 2 Coupled Dictionary Learning
 - Image and Video Super-Resolution
 - Source Separation
- Sparse Representation Classification
 - Hyperspectral Image Classification
 - Paint Loss Detection
- Applications in Spectral Unmixing
 - Spectral Mixing
 - Sparse Unmixing

5 Summary

A Wealth of High-Dimensional Multimodal Data



Remote sensing data (hyperspectral, visible, LiDAR,...)



Digitized paintings (infrared, X-Ray, visible)

Capturing Intrinsic Structure of the Data

- High-dimensional data often exhibits low-dimensional structure
- Early models (PCA): find a linear subspace in which data resides
- Recent methods
 - Capture more complex low-dimensional structures (manifolds or unions of multiple linear subspaces)



P. V. Dinh: Review on Manifold Learning, 2009

 Data has a sufficiently sparse representation with respect to some basis or a dictionary

Sparse representation



Designed vs. Learned Dictionaries

- Designed dictionaries: wavelets, curvelets, shearlets...
 - typically yield sparse representation of signals and images
 - advantages: generic, fast computation



Learned dictionaries

- trained on a set of representative examples
- goal: optimally sparse representation for a given class of signals

Sparse coding



 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \|_{2}^{2} \text{ subject to } \| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \|_{0} \leq K$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{0}$ subject to $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \epsilon$

Sparse coding



$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \|_{2}^{2} \text{ subject to } \| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \|_{0} \leq K$$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{0}$ subject to $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \epsilon$

Greedy algorithms

- Matching Pursuit (MP) [Mallat and Zhang, '93]
- OMP [Tropp, '04], CoSaMP [Needell and Tropp, '09]
- IHT [Blumensath and Davies, 09]

Sparse coding



Convex relaxation:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\arg\min} \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{1}}{\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{1}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} \le \epsilon$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{1}$$

LASSO [Tibshirani, '96], BPDN [Chen et al, '01]

Sparse coding and dictionary learning



$$\{\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \hat{\mathbf{A}}\} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{A}} \left\{ \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \right\}$$
 subject to $\forall i, \|\alpha_i\|_0 \leq K$

A similar objective:

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \hat{\mathbf{A}}\} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{A}} \sum_{i} \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}\|_{0} \text{ subject to } \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}\|_{F}^{2} \leq \epsilon$$

Sparse coding and dictionary learning



$$\{\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \hat{\mathbf{A}}\} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{A}} \left\{ \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \right\}$$
 subject to $\forall i, \|\alpha_i\|_0 \le K$

A similar objective:

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \hat{\mathbf{A}}\} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{A}} \sum_{i} \|\alpha_{i}\|_{0} \text{ subject to } \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}\|_{F}^{2} \leq \epsilon$$

Iterate Two Steps: Sparse Coding and Dictionary Update



Sparse Coding - A Strategy Employed by V1?

[Olshausen and Field, 1997]

Idea: maximize the likelihood :

$$P(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{D}) = \prod_{i} P(\mathbf{y}_{i}|\mathbf{D}) = \prod_{i} \int P(\mathbf{y}_{i}|\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{D})P(\boldsymbol{\alpha})d\boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

Approximate $P(\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{D})$ via extremal values. Require: $P(\alpha) \propto e^{-\lambda |\alpha|}$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{D}} &= \arg\max_{\mathbf{D}} \sum_{i} \max_{\alpha_{i}} \Big\{ P(\mathbf{y}_{i} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}, \mathbf{D}) P(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}) \Big\} \\ &= \arg\min_{\mathbf{D}} \sum_{i} \min_{\alpha_{i}} \Big\{ \|\mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} - \mathbf{y}_{i}\|^{2} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{1} \Big\} \end{split}$$

Two-step iterative procedure :

• Calculate $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^N$ using a gradient descent procedure

9 Update the dictionary as: $\mathbf{D}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{D}^{(k)} - \eta \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\mathbf{D}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} - \mathbf{y}_{i} \right) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}^{T}$

The Method of Optimal Directions (MOD) method

```
[Engan et al., 1999]
```

Assume the sparse code for each example is known, and define the coding errors: $e_i = y_i - D\alpha$

Idea: minimize the overall representation error:

$$\|\mathbf{E}\|_{F}^{2} = \|[\mathbf{e}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{N}]\|_{F}^{2} = \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{DA}\|_{F}^{2}$$

Two-step iterative procedure :

• Calculate $\mathbf{A} = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N]$ using **OMP**

Output Update the dictionary as:
$$\mathbf{D}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{A}^{(k)T} \left(\mathbf{A}^{(k)} \mathbf{A}^{(k)T} \right)^{-1}$$

K-SVD

[Aharon et al., 2006]



Like in K-means clustering, update one dictionary atom at a time



K-SVD (continued)

[Aharon et al., 2006]



Like in K-means clustering, update one dictionary atom at a time

$$\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}\|_{F}^{2} = \left\|\mathbf{Y} - \sum_{j} \mathbf{d}_{j} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}^{T}\right\|_{F}^{2} = \left\|\underbrace{\left(\mathbf{Y} - \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbf{d}_{j} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}^{T}\right)}_{\mathbf{E}_{k} = \text{error due to omitting } \mathbf{d}_{k}} - \mathbf{d}_{k} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k}^{T}\right\|_{F}^{2}$$

K-SVD (continued)



Restrict the calculation of the error \mathbf{E}_k only to columns \mathbf{y}_i that use the atom \mathbf{d}_k , i.e., only to columns

$$\mathcal{J} = \{j \mid 1 \le j \le K, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_k^T(j) \neq 0\}$$

Apply SVD to the restricted error

$$\mathbf{E}_{k}^{R} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{V}^{T}$$

Update \mathbf{d}_k to be the first column of \mathbf{U} ; Update $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_k^T$ to be the first column of \mathbf{V} multiplied by $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(1,1)$

Learned Dictionaries of Image Atoms - Examples



Examples of dictionaries trained by [Olshausen and Field, 1997] (left) and K-SVD [Aharon et al., 2006] (right)

Unsupervised vs. Supervised Dictionary Learning

• Unsupervised dictionary learning

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \hat{\mathbf{A}}\} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{A}} \left\{ \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \right\}$$
 subject to $\forall i, \|\alpha_i\|_0 \leq K$

- minimizes the reconstruction error
- inverse problems (restoration, inpainting,...)
- Supervised (discriminative or task-driven)

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \underbrace{\hat{\mathbf{C}}}_{\text{class. par.}}, \hat{\mathbf{A}}\} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}} \left\{ \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}\|_{F}^{2} + \mu \|\underbrace{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{labels}} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}\|_{F}^{2} + \eta \|\mathbf{C}\|_{F}^{2} \right\}$$

subject to $\forall i, \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i\|_0 \leq K$

classification problems (H – label inform.; C – classifier parameters)

Application in Painter Style Characterization



[Hughes et al, 2009], [Latić and Pižurica, 2014]

Outline

Sparse Coding via Dictionary Learning

- Sparse representation
- Some Open Problems and Challenges
- 2 Coupled Dictionary Learning
 - Image and Video Super-Resolution
 - Source Separation
- Sparse Representation Classification
 - Hyperspectral Image Classification
 - Paint Loss Detection
- Applications in Spectral Unmixing
 - Spectral Mixing
 - Sparse Unmixing

5 Summary

Hierarchical Dictionaries



J. Mairal, R. Jenatton, G. Obozinski and F. Bach (2011). Learning Hierarchical and Topographic Dictionaries with Structured Sparsity. SPIE Wav.&Sparsity.

Some Open Problems and Challenges

- Most of the current methods focus on training a dictionary in a single feature space or on features derived from the same imaging modality [Shen et al., 2015]. Generalizations to multimodal and heterogeneous data are challenging.
- Development of efficient learning tools, especially for multimodal dictionaries is still an open problem [Bahrampour et al., 2006].
- Lack of structure limits the practical applicability of learned dictionaries [Shao et al., 2014].

Outline

- Sparse Coding via Dictionary Learning
 - Sparse representation
 - Some Open Problems and Challenges
- 2 Coupled Dictionary Learning
 - Image and Video Super-Resolution
 - Source Separation
- Sparse Representation Classification
 - Hyperspectral Image Classification
 - Paint Loss Detection
- Applications in Spectral Unmixing
 - Spectral Mixing
 - Sparse Unmixing

5 Summary

Coupled Dictionary Learning for Super-Resolution



Coupled dictionary learning

SR reconstruction

J. Yang, J. Wright, T. S. Huang, and Y. Ma (2010). Image Super-Resolution Via Sparse Representation, IEEE TIP.

Outline

- Sparse Coding via Dictionary Learning
 - Sparse representation
 - Some Open Problems and Challenges

2 Coupled Dictionary Learning

- Image and Video Super-Resolution
- Source Separation

Sparse Representation Classification

- Hyperspectral Image Classification
- Paint Loss Detection

Applications in Spectral Unmixing

- Spectral Mixing
- Sparse Unmixing

5 Summary



Visible parts from the front and back panel

X-ray scan

N. Deligiannis, J. Mota, B. Cornelis, M. Rodrigues and I. Daubechies (2017). Multi-Modal Dictionary Learning for Image Separation With Application in Art Investigation. IEEE TIP.

A. Pižurica



 $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{\Psi}^c \mathbf{z}_{1c}$ $\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{\Psi}^c \mathbf{z}_{2c}$

 $\mathbf{x}_{1}^{ray} = \mathbf{\Phi}^{c} \mathbf{z}_{1c} + \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{v}$ $\mathbf{x}_{2}^{ray} = \mathbf{\Phi}^{c} \mathbf{z}_{2c} + \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{v}$

$$\min \left\{ \|\mathbf{z}_{1c}\|_1 + \|\mathbf{z}_{2c}\|_1 + 2\|\mathbf{v}\|_1 \right\}$$

subject to $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{\Phi}^c \mathbf{z}_{1c} + \mathbf{\Phi}^c \mathbf{z}_{2c} + \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{v}$
 $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{\Psi}^c \mathbf{z}_{1c}$
 $\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{\Psi}^c \mathbf{z}_{2c}$

[Deligiannis et al, 2017]



[Deligiannis et al, 2017]

A. Pižurica



(contrast enhanced)

[Deligiannis et al, 2017]

Outline

- Sparse Coding via Dictionary Learning
 - Sparse representation
 - Some Open Problems and Challenges
- 2 Coupled Dictionary Learning
 - Image and Video Super-Resolution
 - Source Separation
- 3 Sparse Representation Classification
 - Hyperspectral Image Classification
 - Paint Loss Detection
- 4 Applications in Spectral Unmixing
 - Spectral Mixing
 - Sparse Unmixing

5 Summary

Sparse Representation Classification - SRC



Wright, J., Yang, A. Y., Ganesh, A., Sastry, S. S., and Ma, Y. (2009). Robust face recognition via sparse representation. IEEE PAMI.

Sparse Representation Classification - SRC



$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \|_2^2 \quad s.t. \quad \| \boldsymbol{\alpha} \|_0 \leq K$$

Let $\delta_m(\alpha)$ denote a vector whose all entries are set to zero except those associated with class *m* and define a class-specific residual $r_m(\mathbf{y})$

$$r_m(\mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{D}\delta_m(\hat{\alpha})\|_2, \quad m = 1, ..., M$$

and the sample class as: $identity(\mathbf{y}) = \underset{m=1,...,M}{\arg\min} r_m(\mathbf{y})$

Sparse Representation Classification - SRC



Equivalently,

$$r_m(\mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{D}_m \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_m\|_2, \quad m = 1, ..., M$$

$$identity(\mathbf{y}) = \underset{m=1,...,M}{\arg\min} r_m(\mathbf{y})$$

SRC in Hyperspectral Image Classification



$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} \text{ subject to } \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{0} \leq K$$

$$r_{m}(\mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{D}_{m}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{m}\|_{2}, \quad m = 1, ..., M$$

$$class(\mathbf{y}) = \arg\min_{m=1,...,M} r_{m}(\mathbf{y})$$

Joint Sparsity Model

Collect pixels from a small neighbourhood \mathcal{N}_{ϵ} into $\mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{y}_1, ..., \mathbf{y}_T] \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times T}$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \underbrace{[\mathbf{y}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{y}_T]}_{\text{pixels from } \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon}} = [\mathbf{D}\alpha_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{D}\alpha_T] = \mathbf{D}\underbrace{[\alpha_1 \ \dots \ \alpha_T]}_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}$$

Sparse codes $\{\alpha_t\}_{t=1}^T$ share the same support \implies **A** is sparse with only K non-zero rows, i.e., **A** is row sparse.

JSRC method [Chen et al., 2011]:

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}} = rg\min_{\mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \;\;$$
 subject to $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{row,0} \leq K$

$$r_m(\mathbf{Y}) = \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}_m \hat{\mathbf{A}}_m\|_F, \quad m = 1, ..., M$$

$$class(\mathbf{y}_{central}) = \underset{m=1,...,M}{\arg\min} r_m(\mathbf{Y})$$



Examples of stripe noise and mixed noise in a real hyperspectral image.

Huang, S., Zhang, H., Liao, W., and Pižurica, A. (2017). Robust joint sparsity model for hyperspectral image classification. In IEEE ICIP 2017



$$\{\hat{\mathbf{A}}, \hat{\mathbf{S}}\} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{S}} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{S}\|_F^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{S}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{A}\|_{row, 0} \le K$$

$$r_m(\mathbf{Y}) = \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}_m \hat{\mathbf{A}}_m - \hat{\mathbf{S}}\|_F, \quad m = 1, ..., M$$

$$class(\mathbf{y}_{central}) = \mathop{\arg\min}_{m=1,...,M} r_m(\mathbf{Y})$$

Huang, S., Zhang, H., Liao, W., and Pižurica, A. (2017). Robust joint sparsity model for hyperspectral image classification. In IEEE ICIP 2017



Concrete Soil Grass

Indian Pines (false color image)

ground truth

SVM, OA=80.4%



JSRC, OA=89.1%



our method, OA=96.9%



Outline

- Sparse Coding via Dictionary Learning
 - Sparse representation
 - Some Open Problems and Challenges
- 2 Coupled Dictionary Learning
 - Image and Video Super-Resolution
 - Source Separation
- 3 Sparse Representation Classification
 - Hyperspectral Image Classification
 - Paint Loss Detection
- 4 Applications in Spectral Unmixing
 - Spectral Mixing
 - Sparse Unmixing

5 Summary

The Ghent Altarpiece



Image copyright: Ghent, Kathedrale Kerkfabriek, Lukasweb

Also known as *Adoration of the Mystic Lamb*, Hubert and Jan Van Eyck, completed in 1432.

Art and design theguardian

Restored and ravishing: the magnificent Ghent Altarpiece gives up its centuriesold mysteries

It is one of the most influential, and most stolen, works ever. But for centuries, the origins of the Ghent Altarpiece have been shrouded in mystery. Now a restoration is revealing the truth about this masterpiece



Noah Charney

Wednesday 12 October 2016

SCIENCE

The New Hork Times

A Master Work, the Ghent Altarpiece, Reawakens Stroke by Stroke

By MILAN SCHREUER DEC. 19, 2016





Image copyright: Ghent, Kathedrale Kerkfabriek, Lukasweb



before cleaning

after cleaning

Image copyright: Ghent, Kathedrale Kerkfabriek, Lukasweb

Problem of Paint Loss Detection

Paint loss detection crucial for

- documenting purpose
- virtual restoration
- decision making in the actual restoration process

Currently done manually:

- labor intensive
- only rough indication
- prone to errors



Image copyright: Ghent, Kathedrale Kerkfabriek, Lukasweb

Multimodal Data



Infrared image before cleaning

Visible image before cleaning

Visible image after cleaning

Image copyright: Ghent, Kathedrale Kerkfabriek, Lukasweb

Features for SRC



S. Huang, W. Liao, H. Zhang, and A. Pižurica (2016). Paint Loss Detection in Old Paintings by Sparse Representation Classification. iTWIST.

SRC-based Paint Loss Detection Method



 N_j^m - number of trials in which \mathbf{y}_j was labelled as class m; $m \in \{PaintLoss, Other\}$

$$class(\mathbf{y}_j) = \arg\max_{m} p_j(m) = \underbrace{\arg\max_{m} (N_j^m/N)}_{\text{empirical prob. of class } n}$$

S. Huang, W. Liao, H. Zhang, and A. Pižurica (2016). Paint Loss Detection in Old Paintings by Sparse Representation Classification. iTWIST.

A. Pižurica

Paint Loss Detection Results



Image copyright: Ghent, Kathedrale Kerkfabriek, Lukasweb

Virtual Restoration



The result of automatic paint loss detection followed by inpainting using the method of [Ružić and Pižurica, 2015]. Copyright: Ghent, Kathedrale Kerkfabriek, Lukasweb

A. Pižurica

Outline

- Sparse Coding via Dictionary Learning
 - Sparse representation
 - Some Open Problems and Challenges
- 2 Coupled Dictionary Learning
 - Image and Video Super-Resolution
 - Source Separation
- Sparse Representation Classification
 - Hyperspectral Image Classification
 - Paint Loss Detection
- Applications in Spectral Unmixing
 Spectral Mixing
 - Sparse Unmixing

5 Summary

Spectral Mixing



S.R Bijitha, P. Geetha and K.P. Soman (2016). Performance Analysis and Comparative Study of Geometrical Approaches for Spectral Unmixing. International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research.

Outline

- Sparse Coding via Dictionary Learning
 - Sparse representation
 - Some Open Problems and Challenges
- 2 Coupled Dictionary Learning
 - Image and Video Super-Resolution
 - Source Separation
- Sparse Representation Classification
 - Hyperspectral Image Classification
 - Paint Loss Detection
- Applications in Spectral Unmixing
 - Spectral Mixing
 - Sparse Unmixing

5 Summary

Sparse Unmixing

Ideal hyperspectral image reordered as a matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times MN}$ Linear mixing model:

 $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}$

 $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times K}$ – library of endmembers; $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times MN}$ – abundance



The approach of [Aggarval et al, 2016]:

$$\min_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{S}} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{E}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{S}\|_F^2 + \lambda_1 \|\mathbf{A}\|_{2,1} + \lambda_2 \|\mathbf{S}\|_1$$

Many similar variants exist, also making use of low-rank assumption:

$$\min_{\mathbf{A}} \operatorname{rank}{\mathbf{A}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{E}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{S}\|_{F}^{2} \leq \epsilon$$

Related work



Anomalies in ArXiv collaboration network (General Relativity co-authors). Georgios B. Giannakis, 2017

Model anomalies in a network with a sparse outlier matrix

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{N} + \underbrace{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{outliers}}$$

• Low-rank plus sparsity-promoting estimator

$$\min_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{S}} \|\mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{S})\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \|\mathbf{X}\|_{\star} + \lambda_{2} \|\mathbf{S}\|_{1}$$

M. Mardani, G. Mateos, and G. B. Giannakis (2013). Recovery of low rank plus compressed sparse matrices with application to unveiling traffic anomalies. IEEE Trans. Info. Theory.

Summary

- Many applications in various inverse problems and detection/classification tasks
- Related approaches include Sparse Representation Classification where the dictionary is constructed from the training samples

- Outlook
 - Efficient methods for multimodal dictionary learning
 - Incorporating efficiently structure and feature hierarchies

Aharon, M., Elad, M., and Bruckstein, A. (2006). The K-SVD: An algorithm for designing of overcomplete dictionaries for sparse representation. IEEE Trans. Signal Process., 54(11):4311-4322. Bahrampour, S. et al. (2006). Multimodal task-driven dictionary learning for image classification. IEEE TIP, 25. 📕 Chen, Y., Nasrabadi, N. M., and Tran, T. D. (2011). Hyperspectral image classification using dictionary-based sparse representation. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 49(10):3973–3985. Engan, K., Aase, S. O., and Hakon-Husoy, J. H. (1999). Method f optimal directions for frame design. In IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Signal Process. (ICASSP), volume 5, pages 2443-2446. Olshausen, B. A. and Field, D. J. (1997).

Sparse coding with an overcomplete basis set: A strategy employed by V1? Vis. Res., 37(23):3311–3325.

Ružić, T. and Pižurica, A. (2015).

Context-aware patch-based image inpainting using Markov random field modeling.

IEEE Trans. Image Process., 24(1):444–456.

Shao, L., Yan, R., Li, R., and Liu, Y. (2014). From heuristic optimization to dictionary learning: A review and comprehensive comparison of image denoising algorithms. *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, 44(7):1001–1013.

Shen, L., Sun, G., Huang, Q., Wang, S., Lin, Z., and Wu, E. (2015). Multi-level discriminative dictionary learning with application to large scale image classification.

IEEE TIP, 24(10):3109–3122.